Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84700780R003400080038-1. Response Due to bol. White by 17 Nov 2. bomments due from OL by / NoV. J- Frink this, DU/S plans to meet with D/L to review OL comments. 3. Comments due from OS by / Nov. 4. Using guidana from 20/5,

Director of Logistics
1227 Ames

I am attaching a copy of the Inspector General's Survey of the Office of Logistics, August 1969, for your information and action. I would appreciate receiving your comments on the various recommendations by 31 October 1969 in order that we may prepare a suitable reply to the Executive Director-Comptroller.

R. L. Bannerman

1 6 SEP 1969

Deputy	Director	for	Support		
				:	x

Distribution:
O - Addressee w/ copy of Survey (att DD/S 69-4222)-BY HAND- RLB
Y - DD/S Subject
1 - DD/S Chrono

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003400080038-8

15 SEP 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

SUBJECT : Report of Inspector General Survey of the

Office of Logistics

1. Attached is the report resulting from the survey of the Office of Logistics. This survey, which began in mid-February with headquarters briefings and interviews, covered all components of the Office except the Printing Services Division which had been reported on in September 1966. The survey included major logistics activities in the Far East at

25X1

- The report contains 24 recommendations. Fourteen of these are found in the section entitled "The Contracting Process in the Central Intelligence Agency" and relate to the delegation of contracting authority, the organization and management of a decentralized contracting program, the status of contract information systems, and the subordination and activities of the Contract Review Board. In our view, the examination of the Agency's contracting processes, as they relate to the regulatory responsibilities and authorities of the Director of Logistics, and the status of personnel and career management in the Office of Logistics represent the more significant aspects of this survey.
- 3. As we note in the introduction to the report, we found the Agency components served by the Office of Logistics to be virtually unanimous in their appreciation of its efforts. We also were impressed with the difficulties and complexities of the task that faced the Director and Deputy Director of Logistics in 1967 when the decision was reached to decentralize this Agency's contracting activities and we found that all the major elements of the new program had been formed without disrupting the contracting services.
- 4. We find nothing exceptional in the fact that a reorganization of such a magnitude has generated problems and some deficiencies. The

SUCRO Excluded from automati downgrading, and declassification

attention we devoted to examining the contracting process reflects the importance we attach to this activity and should not detract from the achievements we observed.

5. I am forwarding, under separate cover, two copies of the report to the Deputy Director for Support. Attached for your signature is a memorandum to the Deputy Director for Support that requests his response within 60 days to the report's recommendations and a memorandum to the Deputy Director for Science and Technology that forwards portions of the report of interest to him.

/s/ Gordon M. Stewart

Gordon M. Stewart Inspector General

Attachment a/s

cc: Deputy Director for Support

- 2 -